

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

_					
	APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
	10/621,740	07/17/2003	Joseph W. Cole	COLEI.0007P	1816
	32856 WEIDE & MII	7590 01/24/2008 LER LTD		EXAM	INER ·
	7251 W. LAKI	E MEAD BLVD.		WILLIAMS	S, ROSS A
	SUITE 530 LAS VEGAS,	NV 89128 .		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	,			3714	
			•		
		· •	·	MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			•	01/24/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
•	10/621,740 COLE, JOSEPH W.		
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
•	Ross A. Williams	3714	
The MAILING DATE of this communication a	ppears on the cover sheet w		?ss
Period for Reply	·		_
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REF WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by state Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the main earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNI 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a od will apply and will expire SIX (6) MOI ute, cause the application to become A	CATION. reply be timely filed NTHS from the mailing date of this comm BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>02</u>	November 2007.		
2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) ⊠ The	nis action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for allow	vance except for formal mat	ters, prosecution as to the m	erits is
closed in accordance with the practice unde	r <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.I	D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.	
Disposition of Claims	•		
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-5 and 16</u> is/are pending in the ap	plication.	i.	
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withd			
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.	•	•	
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-5,16</u> is/are rejected.			
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.			
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	I/or election requirement.		
Application Papers			
9) The specification is objected to by the Exami	ner.		
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) a	_	by the Examiner.	•
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	ne drawing(s) be held in abeya	nce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).	
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corre			
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the	Examiner. Note the attache	d Office Action or form PTO-	152.
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for forei	an priority under 35 U.S.C.	§ 119(a)-(d) or (f).	
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:			
1. Certified copies of the priority docume	ents have been received.		
2. Certified copies of the priority docume	ents have been received in A	Application No	
3. Copies of the certified copies of the pr	riority documents have been	received in this National Sta	age .
application from the International Bure	eau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	,	
* See the attached detailed Office action for a li	ist of the certified copies no	t received.	
Attachment(s)	_		
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)		Summary (PTO-413) (s)/Mail Date	
 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) 	5) D Notice of	Informal Patent Application	
Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6) 🔲 Other:		

Application/Control Number: 10/621,740

·Art Unit: 3714

DETAILED ACTION

Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on 11/2/07 has been entered.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham* v. *John Deere Co.*, 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:

- 1. Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.
- 2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.
- 3. Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.
- 4. Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.

Claims 1, 3 - 5 and 16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Mattice (US 6,454,649) in view of Zehr (US 3,895,849).

Page 3

Claim 1: Mattice discloses a gaming apparatus that is configured to present at least one game to a user (Mattice 4:58 – 62). Mattice discloses a game machine that comprises a cabinet and a door that is connected to the cabinet by means of a hinge. The door rotates about a vertical axis by means of a vertical hinge (Mattice Fig 2). As can be seen by the illustration, the door is able to open and close thus defining at least 2 different positions (i.e. first and second positions). When the door is in the closed or in the first position the door and cabinet will form a generally closed interior space (Mattice Fig 2). As can be further seen the doors had and inner and outer surface (Mattice Fig 2). However Mattice does not disclose a game machine cabinet that possesses a damping door shock that includes a body and a piston wherein the piston extends from the body, the body and piston extending in a horizontal plane generally perpendicular to said vertical axis, wherein the door shock is configured to resist movement from said second position (i.e. open position) to first position (i.e. closed position). However Zehr discloses a cabinet that utilizes a hydraulic check that comprises a piston and a body that is connected the cabinet doors and the cabinet interior. The hydraulic check is configured to control the speed in which the doors close upon the cabinet by resisting the movement of the doors (Zehr 2:4-17).

It would be obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to modify the game machine cabinet of Mattice with Zehr, to incorporate the hydraulic check door damper in the game machine cabinet. This would be obvious because Zehr like that of Mattice both disclose cabinet-like structures wherein doors rotate about a vertical hinge to provide access to an interior compartment. A door damper would provide a gaming machine

Application/Control Number: 10/621,740

· Art Unit: 3714

like that of Mattice with the ability to safely and automatically close the game door in a controlled manner. Thus preventing the doors from being slammed shut and potentially damaging delicate electronic components.

Claim 3: Zehr discloses that the piston is mounted to the door of the game machine and the body is connected to the cabinet (Zehr 2:4 – 12).

Claim 4: Zehr discloses that the piston rotates relative to the door of the cabinet (Zehr 2:8 - 9).

Claims 5: Zehr discloses that the body (i.e. housing) is pivotally rotatable about the mount that connects the housing to the cabinet (Zehr 2:12 - 14).

Claim 16: Zehr discloses the mounting the second end of the piston/housing to a bracket in a generally player mounting portion connecting to said cabinet in a generally horizontal plane (Zehr FIGs 1 – 4).

Claim 2 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Mattice (US 6,454,649) in view of Zehr (US 3,895,849) as applied above in further view of Yokota (US 6, 952,528).

Claim 2: Mattice does not specifically disclose the mounting of a display to said door. However, Yokota does disclose the mounting of a display on a door that opens on a game machine cabinet to reveal an interior cabinet (Yokota 3:38 – 43).

It would be obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to modify Mattice in view of Zehr and Yokota to provide a game machine that has a display that is mounted on the door of the game machine. By mounting display to the door of the gaming machine

Application/Control Number: 10/621,740

- Art Unit: 3714

cabinet space inside the cabinet can be used for other components of the gaming machine that are vital to the functioning of the machine.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-5 and 16 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Ross A. Williams whose telephone number is (571) 272-5911. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Fri 8:30-5:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Xuan Thai can be reached on (571) 272-7147. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

· Art Unit: 3714

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

RAW 1/15/07

RONALD LANEAU
PRIMARY EXAMINER

80/51/1